

Local representations of the $(1 + 1)$ quantum extended Galilei algebra

Oscar Arratia ¹ and Mariano A. del Olmo ²

¹ *Departamento de Matemática Aplicada a la Ingeniería,*

² *Departamento de Física Teórica,*

Universidad de Valladolid, E-47011, Valladolid, Spain

E. mail: oscar@wmatem.eis.uva.es, olmo@fta.uva.es

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We present the q -deformed counterpart of the local representations of the $(1 + 1)$ extended Galilei group. These representations act on the space of wavefunctions defined in the space-time. As in the classical case the q -local representations are reducible and the condition of irreducibility is given by the q -Casimir equation that in the limit of the deformation parameter going to zero becomes the Schrödinger equation of a free particle.

1 Introduction

It is well known that in Quantum Mechanics the symmetry group G of a quantum system is realized by local unitary operators U acting on the space of wavefunctions ψ defined on the space-time manifold (a homogeneous space of G) X in the form [1]

$$\psi'(x') \equiv (U(g)\psi)(gx) = A(g, x)\psi(x), \quad g \in G, \quad x \in X,$$

being $A(g, x)$ a matrix-valued function. When the wavefunctions are single component $A(g, x)$ is a phase function $A(g, x) = \exp^{i\zeta(g, x)}$. In general, the operators $U(g)$ do not close a true representation but a projective or ‘up to a factor’ representation of G called *local realization*

$$U(g_2)U(g_1) = \omega(g_2, g_1)U(g_2g_1), \quad g_2, g_1 \in G.$$

The function $\omega : G \times G \rightarrow U(1)$ is the factor system of the realization and it is a 2-cocycle. To construct the local realizations of a given group G the first step is to linearize the problem. In other words, instead of computing directly the representations up to a factor of G we can obtain them from the linear local representations of a new group $\bar{G}$, which is a central extension of G by an Abelian group A . It can be shown that A is related with the second cohomology group of G [2]. So, the computation of $\mathbf{H}^2(G, U(1))$ can be done by solving the equivalent problem of the central extensions of G by $U(1)$. It can be proved [1] that the local representations can be obtained by induction from the finite dimensional representations of the subgroup $\bar{\Gamma}$ of $\bar{G}$ such that $\bar{G}/\bar{\Gamma} \simeq X$.

On the other hand, the study of the q -deformed symmetries of the space-time is one of the main applications of quantum kinematical groups in order to establish the structure of the space-time at the level of the distances and energies of Planck scale. This is an important problem to be solved in relation with the statement of a quantum gravity theory.

So, it looks interesting to study the q -deformed version of the local representations of kinematical groups in order to construct models of deformed quantum mechanics, where the deformation parameter of the quantum algebra is introduced as a fundamental length that can be interpreted as a lattice spacing. Attempts in this direction has been presented in Ref. [3], [4] and [5]. Also recent progress has been made in q -deformed quantum field theory by Wess and coworkers [6]. This work can be seen from the point of view of non-commutative geometry [7, 8].

In [9] we constructed the induced representations of some quantum versions of the Galilei algebra in $(1+1)$ -dimensions, among them the quantum extended Galilei algebra $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)})$. However, the construction of induced representations of “local type” for $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)})$ presented two problems: the generators associated to the space-time coordinates do not appeared isolated on a side of the monomial basis chosen, and the linear space spanned by the elements related with $\bar{\Gamma}$ does not close a subalgebra of $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)})$. In this paper we have solved these difficulties and we present the ‘local’ induced representations of $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)})$. The solution proposed by us does without the requirement of duality or pairing between algebras replacing it with a less restrictive condition of duality between linear spaces. The price payed is to drop the coalgebra sector.

The organization of the paper is as follows. Section 2 is devoted to present a brief review about the theory of induced representations of quantum algebras developed in Ref. [9]–[10]. In Section 3 we describe the quantum extended Galilei algebra in $(1+1)$ -dimensions. The main results of the paper about the construction of the local representations of the quantum algebra mentioned above are presented in Section 4. We finish with some conclusions and remarks.

2 Induced representations of quantum algebras

The main results about the theory of induced representation of quantum algebras will be summarized in this section (see [9] and [10] for a detailed description).

We will use multi-index notation: let A be an algebra generated by the elements $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$ such that the ordered monomials $a^n := a_1^{n_1} a_2^{n_2} \cdots a_r^{n_r} \in A$, $n = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$, form a basis of the linear space underlying to A . An arbitrary product of generators of A is written in a normal ordering if it is expressed in terms of the (ordered) basis $(a^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^r}$. The unit of A , 1_A , is denoted by a^0 ($0 \in \mathbb{N}^r$). Multi-factorials and multi-deltas are defined by $l! = \prod_{i=1}^r l_i!$ and $\delta_l^m = \prod_{i=1}^r \delta_{l_i}^{m_i}$.

We will denote the different actions involved by the following symbols (or their symmetric for the corresponding right actions and coactions): $\triangleright$ ($\blacktriangleleft$) (actions (coactions)), $\vdash$ (induced and inducing representations) and $\succ$ (regular actions).

Let H be a Hopf algebra and V a linear vector space over a field $\mathbb{K}$ ($\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$). The triplet $(V, \triangleright, H)$ is said to be a left H -module if α is a left action of H on V , i.e., a linear map $\alpha : H \otimes V \rightarrow V$ ($\alpha : (h \otimes v) \mapsto \alpha(h \otimes v) \equiv h \triangleright v$) such that

$$h_1 \triangleright (h_2 \triangleright v) = (h_1 h_2) \triangleright v, \quad 1_H \triangleright v = v, \quad \forall h_1, h_2 \in H, \quad \forall v \in V.$$

Right H -modules can be defined in a similar way.

There are two canonical modules associated to any pair of Hopf algebras, H and H' , related by a nondegenerate pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, in the sense of being simultaneously left and right nondegenerate pairing [11] (in this case $(H, H', \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ will be called a nondegenerate triplet):

i) The left regular module $(H, \succ, H)$ with action

$$h_1 \succ h_2 = h_1 h_2, \quad \forall h_1, h_2 \in H.$$

ii) The right coregular module $(H', \prec, H)$ with action defined by

$$\langle h_2, h' \prec h_1 \rangle = \langle h_1 \succ h_2, h' \rangle, \quad \forall h_1, h_2 \in H, \quad \forall h' \in H'.$$

Using the coproduct $(\Delta(h') = h'_{(1)} \otimes h'_{(2)})$ in H' the action takes the form: $h' \prec h = \langle h, h'_{(1)} \rangle h'_{(2)}$.

The induction and coinduction algorithms of algebra representations are adapted to the Hopf algebras as follows. Let $(H, H', \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a nondegenerate triplet and $(V, \triangleright, K)$ a left K -module with K a subalgebra of H . The carrier space, $\mathbb{K}^\uparrow$, of the coinduced representation is the subspace of $H' \otimes V$ with elements f verifying

$$\langle f, kh \rangle = k \triangleright \langle f, h \rangle, \quad \forall k \in K, \quad \forall h \in H. \quad (2.1)$$

The pairing used in expression (2.1) is V -valued and is defined by $\langle h' \otimes v, h \rangle = \langle h', h \rangle v$, with $h \in H$, $h' \in H'$, $v \in V$. The action $h \triangleright f$ on the coinduced module is determined by

$$\langle h_1 \triangleright f, h_2 \rangle = \langle f, h_2 h_1 \rangle, \quad \forall h_2 \in H.$$

Let $(\mathbb{K}, \triangleright, K)$ be a coinducing module. The carrier space of the coinduced representation is the subspace of $H' \otimes \mathbb{K} \simeq H'$ composed by the elements φ that verify the equivariance condition $\varphi \prec k = (1 \blacktriangleleft k)\varphi$, $\forall k \in K$. The action of H on $\mathbb{K}^\uparrow$ induced by the action of K on $\mathbb{K}$ is given by

$$\langle h_2 \triangleright \varphi, h_1 \rangle = \langle \varphi, h_1 h_2 \rangle, \quad \forall h_1, h_2 \in H, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{K}^\uparrow,$$

or explicitly by $h \triangleright \varphi \equiv h \succ \varphi = \langle h, \varphi_{(2)} \rangle \varphi_{(1)}$.

Note that to describe the induced module the right, $(H', \prec, H)$, and left, $(H', \succ, H)$, coregular modules are both pertinent, the former to determine the carrier space and the last to obtain the induced action.

Let $(H, H', \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a nondegenerate triplet with finite sets of generators, $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$ of H and $\{\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^n\}$ of H' , such that $\{h_l = h_1^{l_1} \dots h_n^{l_n}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}^n}$ and $\{\varphi^m = (\varphi^1)^{m_1} \dots (\varphi^n)^{m_n}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}^n}$ ($l = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$, $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$) are bases of H and H' , respectively. The action on the coregular module $(H', \succ, H)$ is obtained after to compute the action of the generators

$$h_i \succ \varphi^j = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^n} \alpha_{ik}^j \varphi^k, \quad i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

and to extend it to the ordered polynomial $\varphi^j = (\varphi^1)^{j_1} \dots (\varphi^n)^{j_n}$ using the compatibility relation between the action and the algebra structure in H' . Thus, we get

$$h \succ (\varphi\psi) = (h_{(1)} \succ \varphi)(h_{(2)} \succ \psi), \quad h \succ 1_{H'} = \epsilon(h)1_{H'}. \quad (2.2)$$

In order to write explicitly the expression of the action on a generic ordered polynomial we take into account that:

- 1) There is a natural representation ρ of H associated to $(H, \prec, H)$

$$[\rho(h_2)](h_1) = h_1 \prec h_2.$$

- 2) The action on $(H', \succ, H)$ can be expressed in terms of ρ using the adjoint with respect to the pairing $(f^\dagger : H' \rightarrow H')$ is the adjoint of $f : H \rightarrow H$ if $\langle h, f^\dagger(h') \rangle = \langle f(h), h' \rangle$ defined by

$$h \succ \varphi = [\rho(h)]^\dagger(\varphi). \quad (2.3)$$

If the bases $\{h_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}^n}$ and $\{\varphi^m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}^n}$ are dual (i.e. $\langle h_l, \varphi^m \rangle = l! \delta_l^m$, $\forall l, m \in \mathbb{N}^n$) we define ‘multiplication’ operators $\bar{h}_i, \bar{\varphi}^j$ and formal derivatives $\partial/\partial h_i, \partial/\partial \varphi^j$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{h}_i(h_1^{l_1} \dots h_i^{l_i} \dots h_n^{l_n}) &= h_1^{l_1} \dots h_i^{l_i+1} \dots h_n^{l_n}, \\ \bar{\varphi}_i((\varphi^1)^{m_1} \dots (\varphi^i)^{m_i} \dots (\varphi^n)^{m_n}) &= (\varphi^1)^{m_1} \dots (\varphi^i)^{m_i+1} \dots (\varphi^n)^{m_n}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial h_i}(h_1^{l_1} \dots h_i^{l_i} \dots h_n^{l_n}) &= l_i h_1^{l_1} \dots h_i^{l_i-1} \dots h_n^{l_n}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^i}((\varphi^1)^{m_1} \dots (\varphi^i)^{m_i} \dots (\varphi^n)^{m_n}) &= m_i (\varphi^1)^{m_1} \dots (\varphi^i)^{m_i-1} \dots (\varphi^n)^{m_n}. \end{aligned}$$

The adjoint operators are given by $\bar{h}_i^\dagger = \partial/\partial \varphi^i$ and $\bar{\varphi}^{i\dagger} = \partial/\partial h_i$.

3 Quantum extended Galilei algebra $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)})$

The quantum extended Galilei group, $F_q(\overline{G(1,1)})$, can be obtained as a deformation of a Lie–Poisson structure defined by a nontrivial 1–cocycle of the extended Galilei group [12], $\overline{G(1,1)}$, with

values on $\Lambda^2 \overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)}$ [13]. Thus, $F_q(\overline{G(1,1)})$ is the Hopf algebra generated by μ, x, t and v with nonvanishing commutation relations

$$[\mu, x] = -2a\mu, \quad [\mu, v] = av^2, \quad [x, v] = 2av.$$

The coproduct, counit and antipode are

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\mu &= \mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mu + v \otimes x + \frac{1}{2}v^2 \otimes t, & \Delta x &= x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x + v \otimes t, \\ \Delta t &= t \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t, & \Delta v &= v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v; \\ \epsilon(\mu) &= \epsilon(x) = \epsilon(t) = \epsilon(v) = 0; \\ S(\mu) &= -\mu + x - \frac{1}{2}v^2t, \quad S(x) = -x + tv, \quad S(t) = -t, \quad S(v) = -v. \end{aligned}$$

The dual (enveloping) algebra $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)})$ [4] is generated by I, P, H and N , in such a way that its pairing with $F_q(\overline{G(1,1)})$ is given by

$$\langle I^p P^q H^r N^s, \mu^{p'} x^{q'} t^{r'} v^{s'} \rangle = p!q!r!s! \delta_{p'}^p \delta_{q'}^q \delta_{r'}^r \delta_{s'}^s. \quad (3.1)$$

The duality relations fix the Hopf algebra structure in $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)})$. The nonvanishing commutation relations are

$$[I, N] = -ae^{-2aP}I^2, \quad [P, N] = -e^{-2aP}I, \quad [H, N] = -\frac{1 - e^{-2aP}}{2a}. \quad (3.2)$$

Note that I, P and H close a commutative subalgebra but now I is not central. However, the commutation relations (3.2) are simpler in terms of the generators (M, P, H, K) , whose nonzero Lie brackets are

$$[P, K] = -M, \quad [H, K] = -\frac{\sinh(aP)}{a}. \quad (3.3)$$

where $M = e^{-aP}I$ and $K = e^{aP}N$. However, the pairing has a ‘diagonal’ expression using (I, P, H, N) .

The coproduct, counit and antipode can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta M &= M \otimes e^{-aP} + e^{aP} \otimes M, & \Delta P &= P \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P, \\ \Delta H &= H \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H, & \Delta K &= K \otimes e^{-aP} + e^{aP} \otimes K; \\ \epsilon(M) &= \epsilon(P) = \epsilon(H) = \epsilon(K) = 0; \\ S(M) &= -M, \quad S(P) = -P, \quad S(H) = -H, \quad S(K) = -K - aM; \end{aligned}$$

From the commutation relations (3.3) we see that M, P and H span a commutative subalgebra where the generator K acts like a derivation by means of the commutator. Moreover, since M is a central generator we will identify it with the mass operator of a physical system.

4 Local representations of $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)})$

We mentioned before in the Introduction the difficulties that prevented the construction of local representations for $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)})$ in [9], related with that the generators x and t do not appear isolated on a side of the monomial basis, and with that the linear space spanned by the elements $I^m N^n$ does not close a subalgebra of $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)})$. Here we will use an alternative basis of $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)})$ determined by (M, P, H, K) . However, new troubles appear because the pairing between $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)})$ and $F_q(\overline{G(1,1)})$ is not like (3.1) (i.e., $\langle M^p P^q H^r K^s, \mu^{p'} x^{q'} t^{r'} v^{s'} \rangle \neq p!q!r!s! \delta_p^{p'} \delta_q^{q'} \delta_r^{r'} \delta_s^{s'}$). But in order to avoid them let us consider a unital associative algebra $\mathcal{A}$ spanned by four elements v, μ, x, t , whose commutation relations are not specified. Although, let us suppose that the ordered monomials $v^m \mu^n x^p t^q$ determine a basis of the underlying linear space of $\mathcal{A}$, and the nondegenerate bilinear form defined on $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)}) \times \mathcal{A}$ is

$$\langle K^m M^n P^p H^q, v^{m'} \mu^{n'} x^{p'} t^{q'} \rangle = m!n!p!q! \delta_m^{m'} \delta_n^{n'} \delta_p^{p'} \delta_q^{q'}. \quad (4.1)$$

So, in the following we will work only with the algebra sector of $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)})$. Note that the nondegenerate pairings play a fundamental role to transfer the module structure to the dual spaces.

The first step is to know the structure of the module-coalgebra $(U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)}), \prec, U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)}))$. Taking into account that

$$M^n P^p H^q K = K M^n P^p H^q - p M^{n+1} P^{p-1} H^q - q M^n \frac{\sinh(aP)}{a} P^p H^{q-1}, \quad (4.2)$$

the action of the generators can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} K^m M^n P^p H^q \prec K &= K^{m+1} M^n P^p H^q - p K^m M^{n+1} P^{p-1} H^q - q K^m M^n \frac{\sinh(aP)}{a} P^p H^{q-1}, \\ K^m M^n P^p H^q \prec M &= K^m M^{n+1} P^p H^q, \\ K^m M^n P^p H^q \prec P &= K^m M^n P^{p+1} H^q, \\ K^m M^n P^p H^q \prec H &= K^m M^n P^p H^{q+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

The endomorphism associated to this action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(K) &= \bar{K} - \bar{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} - \frac{\sinh(a\bar{P})}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial H}, & \rho(M) &= \bar{M}, \\ \rho(P) &= \bar{P}, & \rho(H) &= \bar{H}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

The adjoint operators respect to the bilinear form (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(K)^\dagger &= \frac{\partial}{\partial v} - \bar{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} - \bar{t} \frac{\sinh(a\frac{\partial}{\partial x})}{a}, & \rho(M)^\dagger &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}, \\ \rho(P)^\dagger &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, & \rho(H)^\dagger &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

allow to obtain the action that characterizes $(U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)}), \prec, U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)}))$

$$\begin{aligned} K \triangleright f &= \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial v} - \bar{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} - \bar{t} \frac{\sinh(a\frac{\partial}{\partial x})}{a} \right] f, & M \triangleright f &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} f, \\ P \triangleright f &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f, & H \triangleright f &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Now let study the module $(\mathcal{A}, \triangleleft, U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)}))$, dual of $(U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)}), \succ, U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)}))$ respect to the bilinear form (4.1). The following lemma will be useful for our task.

Lemma 4.1. *For every real number s the following relations hold*

$$\begin{aligned} M e^{sK} &= e^{sK} M, \\ P e^{sK} &= e^{sK} (P - sM), \\ H e^{sK} &= e^{sK} \left(H + \frac{\cosh(a(P - sM)) - \cosh(aP)}{a^2 M} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. Let φ be an element of the subalgebra generated by M, P and H . We have

$$\varphi e^{sK} = e^{sK} e^{s \operatorname{ad}_K^r}(\varphi), \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$\operatorname{ad}_a^r(a') = a'a - aa' = [a', a], \quad e^{-a} a' e^a = e^{\operatorname{ad}_a^r}(a'), \quad (4.9)$$

with a and a' belonging to an associative algebra. Since the subalgebra $\langle M, P, H \rangle$ is isomorphic to $F(\mathbb{R}^3)$ the system (M, P, H) can be seen as a global chart over $\mathbb{R}^3$ (see Ref.. [10]). The derivation $\hat{K} = \operatorname{ad}_K^r$ in this chart can be written as

$$\hat{K} = -M \frac{\partial}{\partial P} - \frac{\sinh(aP)}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial H}. \quad (4.10)$$

Denoting by ϕ the flow associated to the vector field K expression (4.8) becomes

$$\varphi e^{sK} = e^{sK} (\varphi \circ \phi^s). \quad (4.11)$$

In order to obtain ϕ it is necessary to compute firstly the integral curves of $\hat{K}$, which are determined by the autonomous system [14]

$$\dot{m} = 0, \quad \dot{p} = -m, \quad \dot{h} = -\frac{\sinh(ap)}{a}, \quad (4.12)$$

whose solution is

$$m(s) = c_1, \quad p(s) = c_2 - sc_1, \quad h(s) = \frac{\cosh(a(c_2 - sc_1))}{a^2 c_1} + c_3. \quad (4.13)$$

From the expression of the integral curves we obtain the flow

$$\phi^s(m, p, h) = \left(m, p - sm, h + \frac{\cosh(a(p - sm)) - \cosh(ap)}{a^2 m} \right). \quad (4.14)$$

Expressions (4.7) are obtained considering successively φ equal to M, P and H in expression (4.11). $\square$

The lemma allows us to describe the action of the generators of $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)})$ over the elements like $e^{sK} M^n P^p H^q$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
K \succ e^{sK} M^n P^p H^q &= K e^{sK} M^n P^p H^q, \\
M \succ e^{sK} M^n P^p H^q &= e^{sK} M^{n+1} P^p H^q, \\
P \succ e^{sK} M^n P^p H^q &= e^{sK} (P - sM) M^n P^p H^q, \\
H \succ e^{sK} M^n P^p H^q &= e^{sK} \left(H + \frac{\cosh(a(P - sM)) - \cosh(aP)}{a^2 M} \right) M^n P^p H^q.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

The endomorphism of $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)})$ associated to this action is

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(K) &= \bar{K}, & \lambda(M) &= \bar{M}, \\
\lambda(P) &= \bar{P} - \bar{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial K}, & \lambda(H) &= \bar{H} + \frac{\cosh(a(\bar{P} - \bar{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial K})) - \cosh(a\bar{P})}{a^2 \bar{M}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

The adjoint operators respect to the bilinear form (4.1) are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(K)^\dagger &= \frac{\partial}{\partial v}, & \lambda(M)^\dagger &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}, \\
\lambda(P)^\dagger &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \bar{v} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}, & \lambda(H)^\dagger &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\cosh(a(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \bar{v} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu})) - \cosh(a \frac{\partial}{\partial x})}{a^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

These expressions lead immediately to the following action that characterizes the regular module $(\mathcal{A}, \triangleleft, U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)}))$

$$\begin{aligned}
f \triangleleft K &= \frac{\partial}{\partial v} f, & f \triangleleft M &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} f, \\
f \triangleleft P &= \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \bar{v} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \right] f, & f \triangleleft H &= \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\cosh(a(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \bar{v} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu})) - \cosh(a \frac{\partial}{\partial x})}{a^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}} \right] f.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Now we can state the following theorem that gives a family of local representations induced from the abelian subalgebra generated by M and K .

Theorem 4.1. *Let us consider the character associated to the subalgebra generated by $\{M, K\}$ defined by*

$$K^m M^n \vdash 1 = \alpha^m \beta^n, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{4.19}$$

The carrier space, $\mathbb{C}^\dagger$, of the representation of $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)})$ induced by (4.19) is constituted by the elements of $\mathcal{A}$ of the form

$$e^{\alpha v} e^{\beta \mu} \phi(x, t), \tag{4.20}$$

and is isomorphic to the subspace generated by the elements $x^p t^q$. The action of the generators is described by

$$\begin{aligned}
K \vdash \phi(x, t) &= \left[\alpha - \beta \bar{x} - \bar{t} \frac{\sinh(a \partial_x)}{a} \right] \phi(x, t), \\
M \vdash \phi(x, t) &= \beta \phi(x, t), \\
P \vdash \phi(x, t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \phi(x, t), \\
H \vdash \phi(x, t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi(x, t).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Proof. The space $\mathbb{C}^\dagger$ is obtained solving the equivariance condition

$$f \triangleleft K = \alpha f, \quad f \triangleleft M = \beta f, \tag{4.22}$$

which according to (4.18) can be written as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v} f = \alpha f, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} f = \beta f. \tag{4.23}$$

The general solution of (4.23) is, obviously,

$$f = e^{\alpha v} e^{\beta \mu} \phi(x, t), \tag{4.24}$$

with $\phi(x, t)$ a linear combination of monomials $x^p t^q$. The action of the induced representation (4.21) is obtained by restricting the action (4.6) of the module $(\mathcal{A}, \triangleright, U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1, 1)}))$ to the elements (4.24). $\square$

5 Concluding remarks

Note that in the above computations we have not used at any moment the commutation relations of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$. In other words, we have essentially used the structure of linear space of $\mathcal{A}$.

The representations characterized by (α, β) and $(0, \beta)$ are equivalent. To see that it is enough to rescaled $K \rightarrow K - \alpha$. So, in the following we will take $\alpha = 0$.

The action of the Casimir $C_a = MT + \frac{1 - \cosh(aP)}{a^2}$ in the induced module $(\mathbb{C}^\dagger, \vdash, U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1, 1)}))$ is given by

$$C_a \vdash \phi(x, t) = \left[\beta \partial_t + \frac{1 - \cosh(a \partial_x)}{a^2} \right] \phi(x, t). \tag{5.1}$$

Since the operator C_a is not a constant, the induced representation is reducible, but the q -Casimir equation (5.1) gives a condition of irreducibility. For $\beta \neq 0$ the generator M acts by means of an invertible operator and we can consider the central element $C'_a = M^{-1} C_a$ whose action is

$$C'_a \vdash \phi(x, t) = \left[\partial_t + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{1 - \cosh(a \partial_x)}{a^2} \right] \phi(x, t). \tag{5.2}$$

The unitarity of the representations requires firstly to fix a $*$ -structure on the algebra $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)})$. We here consider a real form that take over the generators the following value:

$$K^* = -K, \quad M^* = -M, \quad P^* = -P, \quad H^* = -H. \quad (5.3)$$

On the other hand, it is necessary to determine an inner product on the corresponding space. Note that the action (4.21) is well defined over the functions of $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ which are $\mathcal{C}^\infty$. For this reason we fix, finally, the commutation relation $[x, t] = 0$ and make the identification $\mathbb{C}^\dagger \equiv \mathcal{H}_\infty$.

Obviously, with the inner product given by

$$(\phi | \phi') = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \phi(x, t) \overline{\phi'(x, t)} \quad (5.4)$$

we have

$$(P \vdash \phi | \phi') = (\phi | P^* \vdash \phi'), \quad (H \vdash \phi | \phi') = (\phi | H^* \vdash \phi'), \quad (5.5)$$

independently of the values of α and β that characterize the representation from where we induced. However, to get

$$(M \vdash \phi | \phi') = (\phi | M^* \vdash \phi'), \quad (K \vdash \phi | \phi') = (\phi | K^* \vdash \phi') \quad (5.6)$$

it is necessary to impose the condition $\bar{\beta} = -\beta$.

In the limit $a \rightarrow 0$ equation (5.2) reduces to

$$C'_0 \vdash \phi(x, t) = \left[\partial_t - \frac{1}{2\beta} \partial_x^2 \right] \phi(x, t), \quad (5.7)$$

which coincides with the Schrödinger equation for a free elemental system of mass m after making $\beta = -im/\hbar$. Also in the limit $a \rightarrow 0$ the expressions (4.21) become

$$\begin{aligned} K \vdash \phi(x, t) &= \left(\frac{im}{\hbar} x - t \partial_x \right) \phi(x, t), & M \vdash \phi(x, t) &= -\frac{im}{\hbar} \phi(x, t), \\ P \vdash \phi(x, t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \phi(x, t), & H \vdash \phi(x, t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi(x, t). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

As we mention in the introduction, the motivation for constructing the q -deformed version of the local representations of $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}(1,1)})$ is to arrive at a ‘deformed’ quantum mechanics, where the deformation parameter of the quantum algebra is introduced as a fundamental length that can be interpreted as a lattice spacing. This is a first step to construct a q -version of quantum field theory. Results in these directions will be published elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

This work has been partially supported by DGES of the Ministerio de Educación y Cultura de España under Project PB98-0360, and the Junta de Castilla y León (Spain).

References

- [1] J.F. Cariñena, M.A. del Olmo and M. Santander, *J. Math. Phys.* **26** (1985) 2096.
- [2] J.F. Cariñena, M.A. del Olmo and M. Santander, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **17** (1984) 309.
- [3] F. Bonechi, E. Celeghini, R. Giachetti, E. Sorace and M. Tarlini, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68** (1992) 3178.
- [4] F. Bonechi, E. Celeghini, R. Giachetti, E. Sorace and M. Tarlini, *Phys. Rev. B* **46** (1992) 5727; *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **25** (1992) L939.
- [5] J. Lukierski, *From noncommutative space-time to quantum relativistic symmetries with fundamental mass parameter*, hep-th/0112252; P. Kosiński, J. Lukierski, P. Maślanka, *Phys.Rev.* **D62** (2000) 025004.
- [6] B. Jurčo, L. Möller, S.Schraml, P. Schupp and J. Wess, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C21** (2001) 383; X. Calmet, B. Jurco, P. Schupp, J. Wess, M. Wohlgenannt, *Construction of non-Abelian gauge theories on noncommutative spaces*, hep-ph/0111115.
- [7] A. Connes, *Non-commutative geometry*. Academic Press, London 1994.
- [8] J.C. Varilly, *Hopf algebras in noncommutative geometry*, hep-th/0109077.
- [9] O. Arratia and M.A. del Olmo, *J. Math. Phys.* **41** (2000) 4817.
- [10] O. Arratia and M. A. del Olmo, *Representations of quantum bicrossproduct algebras*; math.QA/0110275.
- [11] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1994).
- [12] M. Gadella, M.A. Martín, L.M. Nieto and M.A. del Olmo, *J. Math. Phys.* **32** (1991), 1182.
- [13] F. Bonechi, R. Giachetti, E. Sorace and M. Tarlini, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **43** (1998) 309.
- [14] O. Arratia and M.A. del Olmo, *Dynamical systems and quantum bicrossproduct algebras*. Preprint, UVA 2002; math-ph/0203004.